arXiv:0706.2772v2 [math.AP] 19 Aug 2007

EMBEDDING THEOREMS AND BOUNDARY-VALUE PROBLEMS FOR CUSP DOMAINS.

V GOL'DSHTEIN, M.JU.VASILTCHIK[1]

Abstract. We study the Robin boundary-value problem for bounded domains with isolated singularities. Because trace spaces of space $W_2^1(D)$ on boundaries of such domains are weighted Sobolev spaces $L^{2,\xi}(\partial D)$ existence and uniqueness of corresponding Robin boundary-value problems depends on properties of embedding operators $I_1 : W_2^1(D) \to L^2(D)$ and $I_2 : W_2^1(D) \to L^{2,\xi}(\partial D)$ i.e. on types of singularities. We obtain an exact description of weights ξ for bounded domains with 'outside peaks' on its boundaries. This result allows us to formulate correctly the corresponding Robin boundary-value problems for elliptic operators. Using compactness of embedding operators I_1, I_2, we prove also that these Robin boundary-value problems with the spectral parameter is of Fredholm type.

1. Introduction

An essentially self-contained presentation of a method for a correct formulation and an investigation of the Robin boundary-value problem for second order elliptic equations in domains with 'outside peaks' is given in this paper. This study is initiated by works [15], [16], [8], [11]. Quoted papers devoted to the Robin boundary-value problem for Lipschitz domains and its strait forward generalizations. It is well known that the embedding operator $I_1 : W_2^1(D) \to L^2(D)$ is compact for Lipschitz domains [14] and by [11] the operator $I_2 : W_2^1(D) \to L^2(\partial D)$ is also compact. Therefore the Robin boundary-value problem is of Fredholm type for this class of domains [11].

For domains with Hölder type singularities the second embedding operator $I_2 : W_2^1(D) \to L^2(\partial D)$ does not necessarily exist, because traces of functions from $W_2^1(D)$ do not necessarily belong to the space $L^2(\partial D)$. It means that even a correct formulation of the Robin problem for such domains depends on properties of a trace space of $W_2^1(D)$. One of possible descriptions of trace spaces for smooth bounded domains with isolated singularities of an 'outside peak' type was proposed in [18], [19] by the second author. For such domains the trace space for $W_2^1(D)$ does not necessarily coincides with $L^2(D)$ and can be described with the help of corresponding weights ξ that depend on singularity types. These results allow us to formulate correctly the Robin boundary-value problem with the help of the weights ξ.

We will prove in this paper that embedding operators $I_2 : W_2^1(D) \to L^{2,\xi}(\partial D)$ are compact for such weights. Because both embedding operators $I_1 : W_2^1(D) \to L^2(D)$ and $I_2 : W_2^1(D) \to L^{2,\xi}(\partial D)$ are compact the Robin boundary-value problem with the spectral parameter is of Fredholm type.

---

[1] The second author was partially supported by Russian Foundation for Basic Research (grant 06-01-00735)

Elliptic boundary-value problems were studied in numerous books and papers. We mention [4] and [13], where many references can be found. In [14] embedding theorems for a variety of non-smooth domains have been studied.

Main results of this paper were announced in [20].

2. MAIN RESULTS

In this section we introduce necessary definitions and formulate main results.

Recall the classical definition of Sobolev space $W_p^1(D)$. Let D be an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$. Define Sobolev space $W_p^1(D)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ as a normed space of locally summable, weakly differentiable functions $f : D \to \mathbb{R}$ equipped with the following norm:

$$\|f \mid W_p^1(D)\| = \left[\biggl(\int\limits_D |f|^p(x)\,dx\biggr)^{2/p} + \biggl(\int\limits_D |\nabla f|^p(x)\,dx\biggr)^{2/p}\right]^{1/2}.$$

Here ∇f is the weak gradient of the function f.

2.1. **Weak Robin problem for smooth domains.** Let $G \in R^n$ be a bounded smooth domain i.e. its boundary ∂G is a smooth compact $(n-1)$-dimensional manifold. Remember classical Robin boundary-value problem

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\left(a_{ij}(x)\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i(x)\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + a(x)u = f(x),\ x \in G, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial N} + \sigma(x)u = \mu(x),\ x \in \partial G. \tag{2.2}$$

Here the functions $a_{i,j}(x) = a_{j,i}, b_i(x), a(x), f(x)$ are smooth functions defined on G and $\sigma(x), \mu(x)$ are smooth functions defined on ∂G.

As usually $\frac{\partial u}{\partial N} = \sum\limits_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}\cos(\vec{n}, x_i)$, where $\vec{n}$ is the exterior unit normal vector at a point $x \in \partial G$.

Suppose also that the following condition of the uniform ellipticity holds

$$C_1|\xi|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(x)\xi_i\xi_j \leq C_2|\xi|^2. \tag{2.3}$$

for all $\xi = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Here C_1 and C_2 are positive constants.

This formulation is equivalent to the following weak formulation. A function $u \in W_2^1(G)$ is a weak solution of the Robin problem if

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \int\limits_G a_{ij}(x)\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}\frac{\partial \overline{\eta}}{\partial x_i}dx - \sum_{i=1}^{n}\int\limits_G b_i(x)\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}\overline{\eta}dx - \int\limits_G au\overline{\eta}dx \\ = -\int\limits_G f\overline{\eta}dx - \int\limits_{\partial G}\sigma u\overline{\eta}dS_x + \int\limits_{\partial G}\mu\overline{\eta}dS_x.\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

for all $\eta \in W_2^1(G)$. Here dS_x is the standard surface measure.

For the weak formulation smoothness of functions f, σ, μ is not necessary and can be replaced by the following weak conditions: $a_{i,j}, b_i, a \in L^\infty(G)$, $f \in L^2(G)$, $\sigma \in L^\infty(\partial G)$,$\mu \in L^2(\partial G)$.

2.2. **Weak Robin problem for domains with an 'outside peak'.** Suppose domain G is not smooth at one isolated point.

Assumptions on the functions $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$, f and a are the same for any such domain: $f \in L^2(G)$, $a \in L^\infty$ and $a_{ij} = a_{ji} \in L^\infty(G)$. Additional conditions for b_i, σ, μ depends on properties of the boundary and will be formulated later only for domains with 'outside peaks' .

The next definition is a formal description of domains with an 'outside peak'.

Definition 2.1. We call a bounded domain $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ a domain of class OP_φ if

1. There exists such point $O \in \partial G$ that $\partial G \setminus \{O\}$ is a smooth $(n-1)$-dimensional manifold of the class C^1.

2. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ be a bounded domain of the class C^1 and $\varphi \in C^1([0,1])$ be a smooth function such that $\varphi(0) = \varphi'(0) = 0$ and $\varphi'(t) > 0$ for $t \in (0,1)$.Denote $x' = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$.

There exists a neighborhood $U(O)$ of O that can be represented as

$$U(O) \cap G = \left\{ x = (x', x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : \ 0 < x_n < 1, \frac{x'}{\varphi(x_n)} \in \Omega \right\}. \tag{2.5}$$

for an appropriate choice of a coordinate system with the origin O in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

The point O is a top of an 'outside peak'. We will study problem (2.4) for domains of class OP_φ.

Denote $L^{p,\xi}(\partial G)$ such space of measurable functions defined on ∂G that

$$\int\limits_{\partial G} |f(x)|^p \, \xi(x) dS_x \equiv \|f\|^p_{p,\xi,\partial G} < \infty.$$

Here $\xi : \partial G \to R$ is a fixed nonnegative measurable function (a weight).

We are ready to specify all necessary assumptions for Robin boundary-value problem (2.4) *in domains of class OP_φ.*

Suppose domain G belongs to the class OP_φ.

A function $u \in W_2^1(G)$ is a weak solution of the Robin problem if

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \int\limits_G a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \overline{\eta}}{\partial x_i} dx - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int\limits_G b_i(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \overline{\eta} dx - \int\limits_G a u \overline{\eta} dx \\ = - \int\limits_G f \overline{\eta} dx - \int\limits_{\partial G} \sigma u \overline{\eta} dS_x + \int\limits_{\partial G} \mu \overline{\eta} dS_x. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

for all $\eta \in W_2^1(G)$.

Here functions $a_{ij}, b_i, a \in L^\infty(G)$, $f \in L^2(G)$. The following uniform ellipticity condition holds

$$C_1 |\xi|^2 \le \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(x) \xi_i \xi_j \le C_2 |\xi|^2. \tag{2.7}$$

for all $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and some positive constants C_1 and C_2.

Additional assumptions for functions σ, μ depends on function φ and are essential in a neighborhood of singularity point $O \in \partial G$. Roughly speaking these assumptions must correlate with the exact description of the trace space of $W_2^1(G)$ on the boundary ∂G. Reasons for the following assumptions will be made clear during proofs of the main results.

The function $\sigma : \partial G \to R$ satisfies to the following inequality:

$$\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{x\in\partial G} \frac{|\sigma(x)|}{\varphi(x_n)} = M_\sigma < \infty. \tag{2.8}$$

The function μ belongs to $L^{2,\frac{1}{\varphi}}(\partial G)$. This is equivalent to $\frac{\mu}{\varphi} \in L^{2,\varphi}(\partial G)$.

Remember that functions b_i, a, f, u, η (see (2.4)) are complex valued functions.

2.3. **Main results.** Let I_1 be the embedding operator of $W_2^1(G)$ into $L^2(G)$, and I_2 the embedding operator of $W_2^1(G)$ into $L^{2,\varphi}(\partial G)$. By [18] the space $L^{2,\varphi}(\partial G)$ contains traces of $W_2^1(G)$ on ∂G. Existence, boundedness and compactness of the operator I_1 is well known (see, for example [14]). Existence and boundedness of the operator I_2 is proved in [18]. Compactness of I_2 is the main technical goal of this paper.

Remember that $W_2^1(G)$ with an inner product

$$[u,\eta] = \int\limits_G \left[\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial \overline{\eta}}{\partial x_j} + u\overline{\eta}\right] dx \tag{2.9}$$

is a Hilbert space.

We adopt a general well known statement of functional analysis to our study.

Proposition 2.2. *Suppose $G \in OP_\varphi$ and operators I_1 and I_2 are compact. Then the weak Robin problem* (2.6)-(2.8) *is of Fredholm type, i.e. the problem can be reduced to an operator equation on $W_2^1(G)$*

$$(I + A)\,u = F, \tag{2.10}$$

where I is the identity and A is a compact operator.

Compactness of the embedding operator I_2 is a content of the following result:

Theorem 2.3. *If $G \in OP_\varphi$, then the embedding operator I_2 is compact.*

This theorem is a special case $p = 2$ of the corresponding result for Sobolev spaces $W_p^1(G)$ that will be proved in Section 4. Combining two previous results and using compactness of the embedding operator I_1 [14] we obtain one of the main results of this study.

Theorem 2.4. *If $G \in OP_\varphi$, then the weak Robin problem* (2.6)-(2.8) *is of Fredholm type.*

Proof of main results is based on the exact descriptions of the trace spaces of Sobolev spaces $W_p^1(G)$ on boundaries of OP_φ-domains [18]. For readers convenience we reproduce here this description.

Further the relation $A \sim B$ means that a two sided inequality $C_1 < \frac{A}{B} < C_2$ with constants $0 < C_1 < C_2 < \infty$ depending on G only is correct.

Denote $TW_p^1(G)$ a normed space of $W_p^1(G)$-function traces on ∂G with the quotient norm

$$\|f\|_{TW_p^1(G)} = \inf\left\{\|F\|_{W_p^1(G)} : F \in W_p^1(G),\, F\mid_{\partial G} = f\right\}. \tag{2.11}$$

Let $E(x,y) = \max\{\varphi(x_n), \varphi(y_n)\}$ and $\sigma(x,y) = \chi\left(\frac{|x-y|}{E(x,y)}\right)$, where χ is the indicator $[0,1]$.

Let $G \in OP_{\varphi}$, $1 < p < \infty$. By [18]

$$\|f\|_{TW_p^1} \sim \|f\|_{p,\varphi,\partial G} + \left\{ \iint\limits_{G\times G} \frac{|f(x)-f(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+p-2}} \sigma(x,y) dS_x dS_y \right\}^{1/p} . \tag{2.12}$$

It means, in particular, that $f/\partial G \in L^{p,\varphi}$.

3. Proof of Proposition 2.2

The space $W_2^1(G)$ is a Hilbert space with the inner product (2.9). Because the condition (2.3) this inner product is equivalent to the following new one

$$[u,\eta] = \int\limits_G \left[\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \overline{\eta}}{\partial x_j} + u\overline{\eta} \right] dx. \tag{3.1}$$

Rewrite the weak Robin boundary problem in terms of this inner product
(3.2)

$$[u,\eta] - \sum_{i=1}^n \int\limits_G b_i(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \overline{\eta} dx - \int\limits_G (a+1) u\overline{\eta} dx + \int\limits_{\partial G} \sigma u \overline{\eta} dS_x = - \int\limits_G f\overline{\eta} dx + \int\limits_{\partial G} \mu \overline{\eta} dS_x .$$

Every integral in (3.2) can be considered as a complex valued linear functional on $W_2^1(G)$:

$$l_{1,u}(\eta) = -\sum_{i=1}^n \int\limits_G b_i(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}(x)\ \overline{\eta}(x)\ dx, \quad l_{2,u}(\eta) = -\int\limits_G (a+1) u(x) \overline{\eta}(x)\ dx,$$

$$l_{3,u}(\eta) = \int\limits_{\partial G} \sigma(x) u(x) \overline{\eta}(x)\ dS_x, \quad l_4(\eta) = \int\limits_{\partial G} \mu(x) \overline{\eta}(x)\ dS_x,$$

$$l_5(\eta) = -\int\limits_G f(x) \overline{\eta}(x)\ dx.$$

Because $a, b_i \in L^\infty(G)$,$f \in L^2(G)$, $u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \in L^2(G)$ for any $i = 1,2,...,n$ boundedness of functionals $l_{1,u}$,$l_{2,u}$,l_5 follows from Cauchy-Bunyakovski inequality.

Let us prove boundedness of the functional $l_{3,u}$. Using Cauchy-Bunyakovski inequality, condition (2.8), the trace description for domains of class OP_{φ} [18] and boundedness of I_2 we obtain the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} |l_{3,u}(\eta)| = \left| \int\limits_{\partial G} \sigma u \overline{\eta}\ dS_x \right| = \left| \int\limits_{\partial G} \frac{\sigma}{\varphi} \varphi u \overline{\eta}\ dS_x \right| &\le M_\sigma \|u\|_{2,\varphi,\partial G} \cdot \|\eta\|_{2,\varphi,\partial G} \\ &\le M_\sigma \|I_2\|^2 \|u\|_{W_2^1(G)} \|\eta\|_{W_2^1(G)} . \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

In (3.3) $W_2^1(G)$-norm of functions is induced by the inner product(2.9). Constants M_σ and $\|I_2\|$ depends only on G and function σ. Therefore functional $l_{3,u}$ is bounded. A similar argument is correct for l_4:

$$\begin{aligned}|l_4(\eta)| = \left|\int\limits_{\partial G} \mu\overline{\eta}\, dS_x\right| = \left|\int\limits_{\partial G} \frac{\mu}{\varphi}\varphi\overline{\eta}\, dS_x\right| &\le \left\|\frac{\mu}{\varphi}\right\|_{L^{2,\varphi}(\partial G)} \cdot \|\eta\|_{H^1(G)} \\ &\le \|I_2\|\,\|\mu\|_{L^{2,\frac{1}{\varphi}}(\partial G)} \cdot \|\eta\|_{H^1(G)}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

We will use notations C, C_1, C_2,…for different positive constants.

By the Riess theorem there exist such bounded operators $B_i : W_2^1\,(G) \to W_2^1\,(G)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ that $l_{i,u}(\eta) := [B_i u, \eta]\,, \eta \in W_2^1\,(G)\,.$

Denote $F := B_4\mu + B_5 f$. Rewrite (2.6) using B_i and F

$$[u + (B_1 + B_2 + B_3)u, \eta] = [F, \eta]\,,$$

We will use also a short notation $A := B_1 + B_2 + B_3$.

Let us prove compactness of operator A. The operator A is compact if operators B_1, B_2, B_3 are compact. It is enough to prove that for any operator B_i, $i = 1,\, 2,\, 3$ an image of a weakly convergent sequence contains a strongly convergent subsequence.

Let a sequence $\{u_k\}_1^\infty$ weakly converges to u_0 in $W_2^1(G)$. By continuity of B_i the sequence $B_i u_k$ weakly converges to $B_i u_0$. By compactness of operators I_1 and I_2 we can suppose (without loss of generality) that sequences $\{u_k\}_1^\infty$ and $\{B_i u_k\}_1^\infty$ strongly converge in $L^2(G)$ and $L^{2,\varphi}(\partial G)$ correspondingly.

Let us start from B_1. For simplicity we will use short notations $l_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ unstead of $l_{i,u_k - u_m}$. By the definition of B_1

$$\begin{aligned}[B_1(u_k - u_m),\, B_1(u_k - u_m)] &= |l_1(B_1(u_k - u_m))| \\ &\le M\|B_1u_k - B_1u_m\|_{L^2(G)}.\end{aligned}$$

Here M is a positive constant. Because $[v,\, v] \sim \|v\|^2_{W_2^1(G)}$ we have

$$\|B_1u_k - B_1u_m\|^2_{W_2^1(G)} \le C\,\|B_1u_k - B_1u_m\|_{L^2(G)}$$

for a positive constant C.

The last inequality means that $\{B_1u_k\}_1^\infty$ strongly converges to B_1u_0 in $W_2^1(G)$. Therefore operator B_1 is compact.

A similar argument is correct for operator B_2:

$$\begin{aligned}\|B_2(u_k - u_m)\|^2_{W_2^1(G)} &\sim [B_2(u_k - u_m),\, B_2(u_k - u_m)] \\ &= |l_2(B_2(u_k - u_m))| \le C\,\|B_2u_k - B_2u_m\|_{L^2(G)}\end{aligned}$$

for a positive constant C. Therefore sequence $\{B_2u_k\}_1^\infty$ strongly converges to B_2u_0 in $W_2^1(G)$ and operator B_2 is compact.

For operator B_3 we will use compactness of I_2. Using similar arguments we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|B_3(u_k - u_m)\|^2_{W_2^1(G)} &\sim [B_3(u_k - u_m),\, B_3(u_k - u_m)] \\ = |l_3(B_3(u_k - u_m))| &\le M_\sigma\,\|u_k - u_m\|_{L^{2,\varphi}(\partial G)} \cdot \|B_3(u_k - u_m)\|_{L^{2,\varphi}(\partial G)}\end{aligned}$$

proving compactness of B_3.

Hence operator $A = B_1 + B_2 + B_3$ is compact. Therefore the operator $I + A$ is of the Fredholm type. Proposition 2.2 proved.

4. COMPACTNESS OF EMBEDDING OPERATOR $I_p : W^1_p(G) \to L^{p,\varphi}(\partial G)$

Theorem 2.3 is a special case for $p = 2$ of the following result

Theorem 4.1. *If $G \in OP_\varphi$, then the embedding operator $I_p : W^1_p(G) \to L^{p,\varphi}(\partial G)$ is compact.*

Fix a domain $G \in OP_\varphi$. Without loss of generality we can suppose that $0 < \varphi'(x_n) < 1$ for any $0 \leq x_n \leq 1$. can suppose that a top of peak O coincides with the origin of coordinates and there exists such a neighborhood $V(O)$ of O that $V(O) \bigcap G$ can be represented as

$$\{x = (x', x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : 0 < x_n < 1, \mid x' \mid < \varphi(x_n)\}. \tag{4.1}$$

Here notice that $x = (x', x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, $x_n \in \mathbb{R}$. Without

For the proof of Theorem 4.1 we need the following technical result:

Lemma 4.2. *Any function $F \in W^1_p(G)$, $1 < p < \infty$, has the following representation*

$$F(x) = \alpha(x_n) + R(x),$$

where $\alpha \in W^1_p(G)$ is a function of one variable, $R \in W^1_p(G)$, and the following inequality is correct

$$\left\|\varphi^{-1}(x_n)R\right\|_{L^p(G)} \leq C\,\|F\|_{W^1_p(G)} < \infty, \tag{4.2}$$

for some constant C that depends only on G.

For readers convenience we give here a complete, comparatively simple and independent proof of this result that was proved by the second author in [18].

Proof

Choose nonnegative functions $h \in C^\infty_0\left(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\right)$ and $K \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R})$ such that
$supp\, h \subset B_1(0') := \left\{x' = (x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} :\ |x'| < 1\right\}$,
$supp\, K \subset \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$, $\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} h(x')dx' = 1$, $\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(t)dt = 1$. Suppose

$$\Omega(x, r, \theta', y) = \varphi^{-n}(x_n) h\left(\frac{x' + r\theta'}{\varphi(x_n)}\right) r^{n-2} K\left(\frac{y}{\varphi(x_n)}\right),$$

where $r \geq 0$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^1$, $\theta' \in S_1(0') = \partial B_1(0')$. We will use a family of kernels

$$\Omega_\varepsilon := \Omega\left(x, \frac{r}{\varepsilon}, \theta', \frac{y}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

Denote

$$F_\varepsilon(x) = \int\limits_{S_1(0')} dS_{\theta'} \int\limits_0^\infty dr \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(x' + r\theta', x_n + y)\Omega\left(x, \frac{r}{\varepsilon}, \theta', \frac{y}{\varepsilon}\right) \frac{dy}{\varepsilon^2}.$$

The proof of this Lemma can be divided onto four parts.
1. Let us demonstrate that $F_\epsilon \to F$ in $L^{p,loc}(G)$ for $\epsilon \to 0$. Using the change of variables $r\vartheta' = z', y = z_n$ we obtain that

$$F_\varepsilon(x) = \int\limits_{R^n} F(x + z) h\left(\frac{\varepsilon x' + z'}{\varepsilon\varphi(x_n)}\right) K\left(\frac{z_n}{\varepsilon\varphi(x_n)}\right) \frac{dz}{\varepsilon^n \varphi^n(x_n)}.$$

Let us prove that $F_\varepsilon(x) \to F(x)$ for $\varepsilon \to 0$ in $L^{p,loc}(G)$. Let U be a compact subset of G. Denote $x^0_n = \inf\{x_n : x = (x', x_n) \in U\}$ Then $x^0_n > 0$. Using monotonicity of φ and compactness of U we have that $\varphi(x_n^0) < \varphi(x_n) < C_0\varphi(x_n^0)$, where $x = (x', x_n) \in U$ and the constant C_0 depends only on U and φ. By construction of K we have $\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{z_n}{\varepsilon\varphi(x_n)} \leq 1$. Hence

$$K\left(\frac{z_n}{\varepsilon\varphi(x_n)}\right) \leq C_1\chi\left(\frac{z_n}{C_0\varepsilon\varphi(x_n^0)}\right)$$

Because $h\left(\frac{\varepsilon x'+z'}{\varepsilon\varphi(x_n)}\right) \neq 0$ for $\left|\frac{\varepsilon x'+z'}{\varepsilon\varphi(x_n)}\right| < 1$, then

$$\left|h\left(\frac{\varepsilon x'+z'}{\varepsilon\varphi(x_n)}\right)\right| \leq C_2\chi\left(\frac{|z'|}{2C_0\varepsilon\varphi(x_n^0)}\right).$$

In these inequalities $C_1 = \sup\{K(\tau) : \tau \in \mathbf{R}\}$, $C_2 = \sup\{|h(u')| : u' \in \mathbf{R^{n-1}}\}$ and χ is the indicator of $[0;1]$. The second inequality is based on the inequality $|z'| \leq \varepsilon|x'| + \varepsilon\varphi(x_n) \leq 2\varepsilon\varphi(x_n) \leq 2C_0\varepsilon\varphi(x_n^0)$ that is a direct consequence of an obvious inequality $|\varepsilon x' + z'| \leq \varepsilon\varphi(x_n)$.

Because

$$\int_{\mathbf{R^n}} h\left(\frac{\varepsilon x'+z'}{\varepsilon\varphi(x_n)}\right) K\left(\frac{z_n}{\varepsilon\varphi(x_n)}\right)\frac{dz}{\varepsilon^n\varphi^n(x_n)} = 1,$$

we get

$$|F_\varepsilon(x)-F(x)| \leq C\int_{\mathbf{R^n}} |F(x+z)-F(x)|\chi\left(\frac{z_n}{C_0\varepsilon\varphi(x_n^0)}\right)\chi\left(\frac{|z'|}{2C_0\varepsilon\varphi(x_n^0)}\right)\frac{dz}{\varepsilon^n\varphi^n(x_n^0)}.$$

Integrating both parts of the previous inequality in degree p on U and using Minkovsky inequality

$$\|F_\varepsilon - F\|_{L^p(U)} \leq$$

$$C\int_{R^n}\left(\int_U \mid F(x+z) - F(x) \mid^p dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \chi\left(\frac{z_n}{C_0\varepsilon\varphi(x_n^0)}\right)\chi\left(\frac{\mid z' \mid}{2C_0\varepsilon\varphi(x_n^0)}\right)\frac{dz}{\varepsilon^n\varphi^n(x_n^0)}$$
$$\leq C\sup\{\|F(x+z) - F(x)\|_{L^p(U)} : |z| \leq 2C_0\varepsilon\varphi(x_n^0)\}.$$

Using continuity of function $F \in L^p(G)$ in the sense of L^p (see [17], ch.1) the proof can be finished.

Therefore we obtained the following integral representation

$$F(x) = F_1(x) - \int_0^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon}F_\varepsilon(x)d\varepsilon.$$

for any $F \in L^p(G)$.

We will use short notation

$$R(x) = -\int_0^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon}F_\varepsilon(x)d\varepsilon.$$

Recall that

$$F_1(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \int_S dS'_\theta \int_0^{\infty} F(x' + r\theta', x_n + y)\Omega(x, r, \theta', y)dr.$$

Using the following change of variables $x' + r\theta' = z', x_n + y = z_n, r^{n-2}drd\theta' = dz', dy = dz_n$ we obtain

$$\alpha(x_n) := F_1(x) = \int\limits_{R^n} F(z) h\left(\frac{z'}{\varphi(x_n)}\right) K\left(\frac{z_n - x_n}{\varphi(x_n)}\right) \frac{dz}{\varphi^n(x_n)}. \tag{4.3}$$

Here we used a new notation $\alpha(x_n) := F_1(x)$ emphasizing that function $F_1(x)$ depends only on x_n.

2. Let us demonstrate that $\alpha \in W^1_p(G)$ for $1 < p < \infty$. By construction of α we have

$$\| \alpha \|^p_{L^p(G)} = \int\limits_G \mid \alpha(x_n) \mid^p dx = \int\limits_0^1 dx_n \int\limits_{|x'|<\varphi(x_n)} \mid \alpha(x_n) \mid^p dx' \tag{4.4}$$

$$\leq \int\limits_0^1 \int\limits_{|x'|<\varphi(x_n)} \left| \int\limits_{R^n} \mid F(z) \mid \cdot h(\frac{z'}{\varphi(x_n)}) \cdot K(\frac{z_n - x_n}{\varphi(x_n)}) \frac{dz}{\varphi^n(x_n)} \right|^p dx' dx_n$$

Remember that $supp\, h \subset B_1(0')$ and $supp\, K \subset [1/2; 1]$. Therefore the domain of integration for z is the following set $U := \{z :\mid z' \mid < \varphi(x_n), x_n + \varphi(x_n)/2 < z_n < x_n + \varphi(x_n)\}$ which belongs to G.

There exist $\delta > 0$ and $o < c < x_n$ such that

$$\varphi(x_n + \delta\varphi(x_n)) = \varphi(x_n) + \varphi'(c)\delta\varphi(x_n) = \varphi(x_n)(1 + \delta\varphi'(c))$$

By monotonicity of φ we have for $\delta = 1/2$ the following inequality

$$\frac{\varphi(x_n)}{2} \leq \varphi(z_n) \leq \frac{3\varphi(x_n)}{2} \tag{4.5}$$

The integrand in (4.4) can be rewritten in more convenient way

$$\varphi^{-n} \mid F \mid \cdot \mid h \mid \cdot \mid K \mid = \mid F \mid \cdot (\mid h \mid^{\frac{1}{p}} \mid K \mid^{\frac{1}{p}} \varphi^{-\frac{n}{p}}) \cdot (\mid h \mid^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \mid K \mid^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \varphi^{-\frac{(p-1)n}{p}}).$$

Using Hölder inequality and changing order of integration we obtain

$$\|\alpha\|_{L_p(G)} = C \int_G |F(z)|^p \, \Psi(z, x_n) dz \tag{4.6}$$

where

$$C = \left\{ \int_G \Psi(z, x_n) dz \right\}^{p-1},$$

$$\Psi(z, x_n) = \int_0^1 dx_n \int_{\{|x'|<\varphi(x_n)\}} h\left(\frac{z'}{\varphi\,(x_n)}\right) K\left(\frac{z_n - x_n}{\varphi\,(x_n)}\right) \frac{dx'}{\varphi^n\,(x_n)}.$$

We will estimate $\Psi(z, x_n)$.

Let us change first x_n onto $\tau := \frac{z_n - x_n}{\varphi(x_n)}$. Hence

$$\frac{dx_n}{\varphi(x_n)} = -\frac{d\tau}{1 + \frac{z_n - x_n(\tau)}{\varphi(x_n(\tau))}\ \varphi'(x_n)}.$$

Therefore

$$\int\limits_0^1 K\left(\frac{z_n - x_n}{\varphi(x_n)}\right) \frac{dx_n}{\varphi(x_n)} \leq C \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(\tau) \frac{d\tau}{1 - \varphi'(x_n(\tau))} < \infty,$$

because of the boundedness of function K with compact support. Using the same argument for (4.6) we obtain finally the following estimate for the L^p-norm of α

$$\| \alpha \|^p_{L^p(G)} \leq C \int\limits_G | F(z) |^p \, dz.$$

Let us prove that $\alpha \in W^1_p(G)$.

Using the following change of variable in (4.3)

$$z' = \varphi(x_n)s', z_n = x_n + \varphi(x_n)s_n.$$

we get

$$\alpha(x_n) = \int\limits_{R^n} F(\varphi(x_n)s', x_n + \varphi(x_n)s_n)h(s')K(s_n)ds.$$

After differentiation we obtain

$$\alpha'(x_n) = \int\limits_{R^n} (\varphi'(\nabla' F(\varphi(x_n)s', x_n + \varphi(x_n)s_n), s')+$$
$$D_n F(\varphi(x_n)s', x_n + \varphi(x_n)s_n)(1 + \varphi'(x_n)s_n))h(s')K(s_n)ds.$$

Returning to the original variable we get finally

$$| \alpha'(x_n) | \leq C \int\limits_{R^n} | \nabla' F(z) | \cdot h(\frac{z'}{\varphi(x_n)}) \cdot K(\frac{z_n - x_n}{\varphi(x_n)}) \frac{dz}{\varphi^n(x_n)}$$
$$+ C \int\limits_{R^n} | D_n F(z) | \cdot h(\frac{z'}{\varphi(x_n)}) \cdot K(\frac{z_n - x_n}{\varphi(x_n)}) \frac{dz}{\varphi^n(x_n)}.$$

This inequality permits us to estimate $\| \alpha' \|_{L^p(G)}$ by the same way as $\| \alpha \|_{L^p(G)}$.

Therefore $\alpha \in W^1_p(G)$.

3. Function R can be represented as $R = R_1 + R_2$ where

$$R_1(x) = -\int\limits_0^1 \frac{d\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^{n+1}} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\nabla' F(z), z' - x') \ h\left(\frac{z' - (1-\varepsilon)x'}{\varepsilon\varphi(x_n)}\right) K\left(\frac{z_n - x_n}{\varepsilon\varphi(x_n)}\right) \frac{dz}{\varphi^n(x_n)},$$

$$R_2(x) = -\int\limits_0^1 \frac{d\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^{n+1}} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} D_n F(z) \cdot (z_n - x_n) \ K\left(\frac{z_n - x_n}{\varepsilon\varphi(x_n)}\right) h\left(\frac{z' - (1-\varepsilon)x'}{\varepsilon\varphi(x_n)}\right) \frac{dz}{\varphi^n(x_n)}.$$

Here $\nabla' = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{n-1}}\right)$, $z = (z', z_n)$, $D_n = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_n}$.

Let us verify this representation. Remember first that

$$\Omega\left(x, \frac{r}{\epsilon}, \theta', \frac{y}{\epsilon}\right) = \frac{r^{n-2}}{\varphi^n(x_n)\epsilon^{n-2}} h\left(\frac{\epsilon x' + r\theta'}{\epsilon\varphi(x_n)}\right) K\left(\frac{y}{\epsilon\varphi(x_n)}\right).$$

Hence

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon}\left[\varepsilon^{-2}\Omega\left(x, \frac{r}{\varepsilon}, \theta', \frac{y}{\varepsilon}\right)\right] = \tag{4.7}$$

$$-\frac{nr^{n-2}}{\varepsilon^{n+1}\varphi^n(x_n)} hK - \frac{r^{n-1}}{\varepsilon^{n+2}\varphi^{n+1}(x_n)} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} D_j h \theta_j - \frac{yr^{n-2}}{\varepsilon^{n+2}\varphi^{n+1}(x_n)} hK' =$$

$$-\frac{K}{\varepsilon^{n+1}\varphi^{n}\left(x_n\right)}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left[r^{n-1}h\right]-\frac{r^{n-2}}{\varepsilon^{n+1}\varphi^{n}\left(x_n\right)}h\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left[yK\left(\frac{y}{\varepsilon\varphi\left(x_n\right)}\right)\right]$$

Using 4.7 we get the following expression for $R(x)$

$$R(x)=-\int\limits_0^1\frac{\partial F_\epsilon}{\partial\epsilon}d\epsilon=$$

$$-\int\limits_0^1 d\epsilon\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty}dy\int\limits_S dS'_\theta\int\limits_0^\infty F(x'+r\theta',x_n+y)\frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}\left[\Omega(x',\frac{r}{\epsilon},\theta',\frac{y}{\epsilon})\epsilon^{-2}\right]dr=$$

$$-\int_0^1 d\varepsilon\int_S dS_{\theta'}\int_0^\infty\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}D_jF\theta_j\right)r^{n-1}h\left(\frac{\varepsilon x'+r\theta'}{\varepsilon\varphi\left(x_n\right)}\right)dr-$$

$$\int_0^1 d\varepsilon\int_S dS_{\theta'}\int_0^\infty h\left(\frac{\varepsilon x'+r\theta'}{\varepsilon\varphi\left(x_n\right)}\right)\frac{r^{n-2}}{\varepsilon^{n+1}\varphi^{n}\left(x_n\right)}dr\int_{-\infty}^\infty y\,D_nF\,K\left(\frac{y}{\varepsilon\varphi\left(x_n\right)}\right)dy.$$

Using the following change of variables

$$z'=x'+r\theta',dz'=r^{n-2}drdS'_\theta,x_n+y=z_n,dz_n=dy.$$

we obtain the claimed before decomposition $R=R_1+R_2$:

$$R(x)=-\int\limits_0^1\frac{d\epsilon}{\epsilon^{n+1}\varphi^n(x_n)}\int\limits_{R^n}(\nabla'F(z),z'-x')K\left(\frac{z_n-x_n}{\epsilon\varphi(x_n)}\right)h\left(\frac{z'-(1-\epsilon)x'}{\epsilon\varphi(x_n)}\right)dz-$$

$$\int\limits_0^1\frac{d\epsilon}{\epsilon^{n+1}\varphi^n(x_n)}\int\limits_{R^n}D_nF(z)\cdot(z_n-x_n)K\left(\frac{z_n-x_n}{\epsilon\varphi(x_n)}\right)h\left(\frac{z'-(1-\epsilon)x'}{\epsilon\varphi(x_n)}\right)dz$$

$$=R_1+R_2.$$

4. Similarly to the plane case [19] and to the proof of (4.2) we will demonstrate that $\varphi^{-1}R_i\in L^p\left(G\right)$, $i=1,2$. We will prove this fact only for R_1. For R_2 the proof is similar.

We have

$$\left|\varphi^{-1}(x_n)R_1(x)\right|\le \tag{4.8}$$

$$\int\limits_0^1 d\varepsilon\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left|\nabla'F(z)\right|\cdot\left|\frac{z'-x'}{\varepsilon\varphi(x_n)}\right|\;h\left(\frac{z'-(1-\varepsilon)x'}{\varepsilon\varphi(x_n)}\right)K\left(\frac{z_n-x_n}{\varepsilon\varphi(x_n)}\right)\frac{dz}{\varepsilon^n\varphi^n(x_n)}.$$

Denote by $X(x)$ the extension of $\left|\varphi^{-1}(x_n)R_1(x)\right|$ on $\mathbb{R}^n\setminus G$ by zero and by $Y(z)$ the extension of $\left|\nabla'F(z)\right|$ by zero on $\mathbb{R}^n\setminus G$. Recall generalized Minkovski inequality. Let $\psi(x,y)$be a measurable nonegative function defined on $A\times B$ where $A\in R^n$, $B\in R^m$ are measurable sets. Then for any $1\le p<\infty$

$$\left\{\int_A\left(\int_B\psi(x,y)dy\right)^p dx\right\}^{1/p}\le\int_B\left(\int_A\psi^p(x,y)dx\right)^{1/p}dy.$$

Using generalized Minkovski inequlity we get

$$\|X\|_{L_p(\mathbf{R}^n)}\le \tag{4.9}$$

$$\int_0^1 \left\{\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon^n\varphi^n(x_n)}\int_{\mathbf{R}}\Phi(z,x)\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n-1}} h\left(\frac{z'-(1-\varepsilon)x'}{\varepsilon\varphi(x_n)}\right)dz'\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} K dz_n\right]^p dx\right\}^{1/p} d\varepsilon.$$

Here

$$\Phi(z,x)=\left\{\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n-1}} Y^p(z) h\left(\frac{z'-(1-\varepsilon)x'}{\varepsilon\varphi(x_n)}\right)dz'\right\}^{1/p}.$$

Because

$$\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n-1}} h\left(\frac{z'-(1-\varepsilon)x'}{\varepsilon\varphi(x_n)}\right)dz'\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \le C\left[\varepsilon\varphi(x_n)\right]^{\frac{(n-1)(p-1)}{p}}$$

we obtain finally

(4.10)

$$\|X\|_{L_p(\mathbf{R}^n)} \le C\int_0^1\left\{\int_{\mathbf{R}^n}\left[\int_{\mathbf{R}}\Phi(z,x)K\left(\frac{z_n-x'_n}{\varepsilon\varphi(x_n)}\right)dz_n\right]^p\frac{dx}{\left(\varepsilon\varphi(x_n)\right)^{n+p-1}}\right\}^{1/p} d\varepsilon.$$

Using for the term in square brackets from the right hand side of (4.10) Hölder inequality for variable z_n and taking into account the inequality

$$\left\{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K\left(\frac{z_n-x_n}{\varepsilon\varphi(x_n)}\right)dz_n\right\}^{p-1} \le C\left(\varepsilon\varphi(x_n)\right)^{p-1},$$

we obtain the following L^p-estimate

$$\text{(4.11)}\quad \|X\|_{L^p(G)} \le$$

$$C\int_0^1\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Y^p(z)\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h\left(\frac{z'-(1-\varepsilon)x'}{\varepsilon\varphi(x_n)}\right)K\left(\frac{z_n-x_n}{\varepsilon\varphi(x_n)}\right)\frac{dx}{(\varepsilon\varphi(x_n))^n}\right]dz\right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} d\varepsilon.$$

Denote by J the term in square brackets on the right hand side of (4.11). By direct calculations we have

$$J=\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h\left(\frac{z'-(1-\varepsilon)x'}{\varepsilon\varphi(x_n)}\right)K\left(\frac{z_n-x_n}{\varepsilon\varphi(x_n)}\right)\frac{dx}{(\varepsilon\varphi(x_n))^n}=$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K\left(\frac{z_n-x_n}{\varepsilon\varphi(x_n)}\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} h\left(\frac{z'-(1-\varepsilon)x'}{\varepsilon\varphi(x_n)}\right)dx'\right)\frac{dx_n}{(\varepsilon\varphi(x_n))^n}\le$$

$$C\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K\left(\frac{z_n-x_n}{\varepsilon\varphi(x_n)}\right)\frac{dx_n}{\varepsilon\varphi(x_n)}.$$

For the last inequality we used the following estimate

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n-1}} h\left(\frac{z'-(1-\varepsilon)x'}{\varepsilon\varphi(x_n)}\right)dx' \le C\left[\varepsilon\varphi(x_n)\right]^{n-1}.$$

This estimate can be proved by the following way. Let

$$A=\left\{x'\in\mathbf{R}^{n-1}:\left|\frac{z'-x'}{\varepsilon\varphi(x_n)}\right|<1\right\},\ B=\left\{x'\in\mathbf{R}^{n-1}:|z'-x'|<2\varepsilon\varphi(x_n)\right\}.$$

Using definition of class OP_φ and inequalities

$$\left|\frac{z'-x'}{\varepsilon\varphi\left(x_n\right)}\right|-1\leq\left|\frac{z'-x'}{\varepsilon\varphi\left(x_n\right)}\right|-\frac{|x'|}{\varphi\left(x_n\right)}\leq\left|\frac{z'-(1-\varepsilon)x'}{\varepsilon\varphi\left(x_n\right)}\right|<1$$

follows that $A\subset B$. Therefore

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n-1}}h\left(\frac{z'-(1-\varepsilon)x'}{\varepsilon\varphi\left(x_n\right)}\right)dx'\leq\int_A hdx'\leq\int_B hdx'$$

Let us change variable x_n to $\tau:=\frac{z_n-x_n}{\varepsilon\varphi(x_n)}=\tau\ (x_n\to\tau)$. Hence

$$\frac{dx}{\varepsilon\varphi(x_n)}=-\frac{d\tau}{1+\frac{z_n-x_n(\tau)}{\varepsilon\varphi(x_n(\tau))}\ \varphi'(x_n)}.$$

Therefore

$$\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty}K\left(\frac{z_n-x_n}{\varepsilon\varphi(x_n)}\right)\frac{dx}{\varepsilon\varphi(x_n)}\leq C\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty}K(\tau)\frac{d\tau}{1-\varepsilon\varphi'(x_n(\tau))}\leq C,$$

where constant C depends only on $F(x)$. Using the last inequality (4.11) we obtain finally

$$\|X\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}\leq C\,\|Y\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}\leq C\,\|F\|_{W^1_p(G)}\,. \tag{4.12}$$

The Lemma is proved.

Using the previous Lemma 4.2 we will prove the theorem 4.1

Proof of Theorem 4.1 Let $\mathfrak{M}\subset W^1_p\left(G\right)$ be a bounded set and $\Lambda=I_p(\mathfrak{M})\subset L^{p,\varphi}\left(\partial G\right)$. To prove compactness of $I_2:W^1_p(G)\to L^{p,\varphi}\left(\partial G\right)$ we need to construct for any $\varepsilon>0$ a finite ε-network of Λ.

By Lemma 4.2 any function $F\in\mathfrak{M}$ can be represented as $F=\alpha+R$, $\alpha=\alpha(x_n)$. Denote Λ_α the set of all functions $\alpha=F-R$ such that $F\in\mathfrak{M}$ and denote Λ_R the set of all functions R that correspond to $F\in\mathfrak{M}$. Hence $\Lambda=\Lambda_\alpha+\Lambda_R$.

Because the embedding operator $I_1:W^1_p\to L^p(G)$ is compact the set Λ_α is relatively compact in $L^p\left(G\right)$. Therefore for any $\varepsilon_1>0$ there exists an ε_1-network $\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_N$ of Λ_α in $L^p\left(G\right)$. Denote $G_\delta=B_\delta(0)\cap G$ where $B_\delta(0)=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n:\ |x|<\delta\}$. Further we suppose that $0<\delta<1$. Using continuity of function $F\in L^p(G)$ in the sense of L^p (see [17], ch.1), we can found $\delta>0$ such that for any $j=1,2,\ldots,N$ the following inequality is correct

$$\|\beta_j\|_{p,G_\delta}<\varepsilon_1.$$

Hence for any $\alpha\in\Lambda_\alpha$ there exists such β_j that

$$\|\alpha\|_{p,G_\delta}\leq\|\alpha-\beta_j\|_{p,G_\delta}+\|\beta_j\|_{p,G_\delta}<2\varepsilon_1. \tag{4.13}$$

By construction of the domain G in a neighborhood of its peak with the top O (see (2.5)) we have by direct calculation

$$\int\limits_{G_\delta}|\alpha(x_n)|^p dx=\omega_{n-1}\int\limits_0^\delta|\alpha(x_n)|^p\varphi^{n-1}(x_n)dx_n,$$

where ω_{n-1} is volume of the unit $n-1$-dimensional ball.

On the other hand we will demonstrate (using (2.5)) that

$$\int\limits_{\partial G_\delta} |\alpha(x_n)|^p \varphi(x_n) dS_x \sim \int\limits_0^\delta |\alpha(x_n)|^p \varphi^{n-1}(x_n) dx_n.$$

The argument is simple. The manifold $\partial G_\delta \setminus \{0\}$ is a finite union of charts of the following type

$$\partial G^{(n-1)}_{\delta,\sigma} = \Bigg\{ x = (x'', x_{n-1}, x_n) : \ 0 < x_n < \delta, \ x_{n-1} = \sqrt{\varphi^2(x_n) - |x''|^2}, |x''| = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-2} x_i^2\right)^{1/2} < \sigma\varphi(x_n) \Bigg\}, \tag{4.14}$$

where $\sigma \in (0,1)$. By direct calculations

$$dS_x = \varphi(x_n) \sqrt{\frac{1 + (\varphi'(x_n))^2}{\varphi^2(x_n) - |x''|^2}} dx'' dx_n,$$

and by the inequality $|x''| < \sigma\varphi(x_n)$

$$\varphi(x_n) \sqrt{\frac{1 + (\varphi'(x_n))^2}{\varphi^2(x_n) - |x''|^2}} \sim 1.$$

Therefore

$$\int\limits_{\partial G^{(n-1)}_{\delta}} |\alpha(x_n)|^p \varphi(x_n) dS_x \sim \int\limits_0^\delta dx_n \int\limits_{\{|x''| < \sigma\varphi(x_n)\}} |\alpha(x_n)|^p \varphi(x_n) dx'' \sim \int\limits_0^\delta |\alpha(x_n)|^p \varphi^{n-1}(x_n) dx_n. \tag{4.15}$$

Combining this estimate and (4.13) we can conclude that integrals

$$\int\limits_{\partial G_\delta} |\alpha(x_n)|^p \varphi(x_n) dS_x, \ \alpha \in \Lambda_\alpha,$$

are uniformly small for all $\alpha \in \Lambda_\alpha$ if $\delta > 0$ is small enough.

To estimate the function $R \in \Lambda_R$ that corresponds to $F \in \mathfrak{M}$ we will use Lemma 4.2. Denote $\partial_0 G = \{x \in \partial G :| x' |= \varphi(x_n), 0 < x_n < 1\}$. First we will estimate the integral

$$\int\limits_{\partial_0 G_\delta} | R(x) |^p \varphi(x_n) dS_x,$$

for some $\delta > 0$.

The boundary $\partial_0 G_\delta$ can be covered by a finite number of charts $\partial G^{(k)}_{\delta,\sigma}$,$k = 1, 2, ..., n-1$, (see (4.14)). Let us prove estimates for R for the chart $\partial G^{(n-1)}_{\delta,\sigma}$. We will use notation $x =: (x", x_{n-1}, x_n) \in \partial_0 G^{(n-1)}_{\delta,\sigma}$.Then

$$x_{n-1} = \sqrt{\varphi^2(x_n) - |x"|^2}, |x"| < \sigma\varphi(x_n).$$

Choose $s : 0 < s < \sqrt{\varphi^2(x_n)- \mid x" \mid^2}$. Then

$$R(x) = R(x", s, x_n) + \int\limits_{s}^{x_{n-1}} D_{n-1}R(x", \tau, x_n)d\tau$$

where $D_{n-1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n-1}}$.

Integrating in s we obtain

$$\mid R(x) \mid^p \varphi(x_n) \le C_1 \int\limits_0^{\sqrt{\varphi^2(x_n)-|x"|^2}} \mid R(x", s, x_n) \mid^p ds + \tag{4.16}$$
$$C_2\varphi(x_n) \left(\int\limits_0^{\sqrt{\varphi^2(x_n)-|x"|^2}} \mid D_{n-1}R(x", \tau, x_n) \mid d\tau \right)^p$$

For the estimate (4.16) we used that $\sqrt{\varphi^2(x_n) - |x"|^2} \sim \varphi(x_n)$ if $\mid x" \mid < \sigma\varphi(x_n)$. Integrating (4.16) on $\partial_0 G^{(n-1)}_{\delta,\sigma}$, taking into account $dS_x \sim dx" dx_n$ and using Hölder inequality for variable τ we get the following inequality

$$\int\limits_{\partial_0 G^{(n-1)}_{\delta,\sigma}} \mid R(x) \mid^p \varphi(x_n)dS_x \le$$

$$C_1 \int\limits_0^{\delta} dx_n \int\limits_{|x"|<\sigma\varphi(x_n)} dx" \int\limits_0^{\sqrt{\varphi^2(x_n)-|x"|^2}} \mid R(x", s, x_n) \mid^p ds$$

$$+ C_2 \int\limits_0^{\delta} \varphi^p(x_n)dx_n \int\limits_{|x"|<\sigma\varphi(x_n)} dx" \int\limits_0^{\sqrt{\varphi^2(x_n)-|x"|^2}} \mid D_{n-1}R(x", \tau, x_n) \mid^p d\tau$$

$$\le C_1 \int\limits_{G_\delta} \mid R(x) \mid^p dx + C_2\varphi^p(\delta) \int\limits_{G_\delta} \mid D_{n-1}R(x) \mid^p dx.$$

Combining with (4.12) we obtain

$$\int\limits_{\partial_0 G^{(n-1)}_{\delta,\sigma}} \mid R(x) \mid^p \varphi(x_n)dS_x \le \tag{4.17}$$
$$C\varphi^p(\delta) \left[\int\limits_G \left| \frac{R(x)}{\varphi(x_n)} \right|^p dx + \int\limits_G \mid D_{n-1}R(x) \mid^p dx \right]$$

Using this estimate and Lemma 4.2 we get finally

$$\|R\|_{p,\varphi,\partial_0 G_\delta} \le C\varphi(\delta) \|F\|_{W^1_p(G)} . \tag{4.18}$$

This estimate and corresponding estimates for integrals of $\mid \alpha(x_n) \mid^p$ on $\partial_0 G_\delta$ for $\alpha \in \Lambda_\alpha$ allow us to conclude that for any $\epsilon_2 > 0$ there exists such $\delta_0 > 0$ that for all $\delta \in (0,\delta)$ and for all $F \in \mathfrak{M}$ the following inequality is correct

$$\|F\|_{p,\varphi,\partial_0 G_\delta} < \epsilon_2. \tag{4.19}$$

Let δ be an arbitrary number from (0,1). Denote k_δ a function of the class $C^1([0,1])$ with the following properties $0 \leq k_\delta \leq 1$ on $[0,1]$, $k_\delta(t) = 1$ when $\delta < t \leq 1$, $k_\delta(t) = 0$ when $0 \leq t \leq \delta/2$. Denote by $\mathfrak{M}(\delta)$ traces of functions F from $\mathfrak{M}$ on $G \backslash \overline{G_{\delta/2}}$. The set $\mathfrak{M}(\delta)$ is bounded in $W_p^1(G \backslash \overline{G_{\delta/2}})$ and therefore [17] is compactly embedded into $L^{p,\varphi}(\partial_0 G \backslash \partial_0 G_{\delta/2}) \sim L^p(\partial_0 G \backslash \partial_0 G_{\delta/2})$ (for fixed δ). Let $\epsilon > 0$ be an arbitrary number. Choose a finite $\epsilon/2$-network for $\Lambda(\delta) = I_2(\mathfrak{M}(\delta)) \subset L^p(\partial_0 G \backslash \partial_0 G_{\delta/2})$ that is a finite set of functions $\tilde{\nu}_1, \ldots, \tilde{\nu}_r$. Then the set of functions $\nu_j = k_\delta \tilde{\nu}_j, j = 1, 2, \ldots, r$ represents a finite $\epsilon/2$-network for the set $k_\delta \Lambda(\delta) = \{k_\delta F : F \in \mathfrak{M}(\delta)\}$ into $L^{p,\varphi}(\partial_0 G)$. It is possible to suppose that for the same δ the inequality (4.19) is correct with $\epsilon_2 = \epsilon/2$.

Finally we will prove that the set of functions $\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_r$ represents an ϵ-network of Λ into $L^{p,\varphi}(\partial_0 G)$. The argument is standard. Let $F \in \mathfrak{M}$. Then $F = (1-k_\delta)F + k_\delta F$. Because $k_\delta F \in k_\delta \Lambda(\delta)$ there exists such ν_i that $\|k_\delta F - \nu_i\|_{p,\varphi,\partial_0 G} < \epsilon/2$. Then by (4.19))

$$\|F - \nu_i\|_{p,\varphi,\partial_0 G} \leq \|(1-k_\delta)F\|_{p,\varphi,\partial_0 G} + \|k_\delta F - \nu_i\|_{p,\varphi,\partial_0 G} < \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 = \epsilon.$$

Therefore $\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_r$ is a desire ϵ-network for $\Lambda = I_2(\mathfrak{M})$ in $L^{p,\varphi}(\partial_0 G)$. Theorem 4.1 proved.

REFERENCES

[1] Sh.Agmon, Lectures on Elliptic Boundary Value Problems. D.Van Nostrand Co. Princeton, Toronto, New York, London, 1965.

[2] O.V.Becov, V.P.Il'in, S.M.Hikolskii, Integral representation of functions and embedding theorems (russian), Nauka, 1975.

[3] R.Courant, D.Hilbert, Methoden der mathematischen Physik, vol 2, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1937.

[4] D.Gilbarg, N. Trudinger, Elliptic partial differential equations of second order, Springer, Berlin, 2001

[5] W.Evans, D.Harris, Sobolev embeddings for generalized ridged domains, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc., 54, N3, (1987), 141-175.

[6] F.W.Gehring and J.Vaisala, Hausdorff dimension and quasiconformal mappings.J. London Math.Soc. (2),6, (1973),504-521.

[7] V.M. Gol'dshtein, S.K. Vodop'janov, Prolongement des functions de classe L(2,1) et applications quasiconformes.C.R.Ac.Sc., Paris, 1980, 290, 10, A453-456.

[8] V.M.Gol'dshtein, Yu.G. Reshetnyak, Quasiconformal Mappings and Sobolev Spaces, Kluwer Academic Publishers. Dordrecht, Boston, London. 1990.

[9] V. Gol'dshtein, L.Gurov, A.Romanov, Homeomorphisms that induce monomorphisms of Sobolev spaces, Isr. Journ. of Math., 91,1995,31-60.

[10] V.Gol'dshtein and L.Gurov. Applications of change of variable operators for exact embedding theorems, Integr. Equat. Oper. Th., 19, (1994), 1-24.

[11] V.Goldshtein, A.G.Ramm, Embedding operators for rough domains, Math Ineq. and Applications, 4, N1, (2001) 127-141.

[12] V.Goldshtein, A.G.Ramm, Embedding operators and boundary-value problems for rough domains, IJAMM, 1, (2005) 51-72.

[13] O.A. Ladyzhenskaya, N.N. Ural'tseva, Linear and quasilinear elliptic equations, Academic Press, New York, 1968.

[14] V.Maz'ya, Sobolev Spaces, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1985.

[15] A.G.Ramm, Inverse problems, Springer, New York, 2004.

[16] A.G.Ramm, M.Sammartino, Existence and uniqueness of the scattering solutions in the exterior of rough domains, in the book "Operator theory and its applications", Amer. Math. Soc., Fields Institute Communications, vol.25, pp.457-472,

[17] S.L.Sobolev, Some applicationns of functional analysis to mathematical physics. Leningrad, Leningrad State Unuversity, 1950.

[18] M.Ju.Vasiltchik, Traces of functions from Sobolev space W_p^1 for domains with non Lipschitz boundaries. In: Modern problems of geometry and analysis. Novosibirsk, Nauka, (1989), 9-45.

[19] M.Ju.Vasiltchik, Necessary and sufficient conditions on traces of functions from Sobolev spaces for a plane domain with non Lipschitz boundaries. In: Studies on mathematical analysis and Riemannian geometry. Novosibirsk, Nauka, (1992), 5-29.

[20] M.Ju.Vasiltchik, V.M.Gol'dshtein, About solvability of third boundary-value problem for domains with peak. (Russian) Matematicheskie zametki, 78, 3, (2005), 466-468.

[21] W.P.Ziemer, Weakly Differentiable Functions, Springer Verlag, 1989

[22] Grisvard P. Elliptic problems in nonsmooth domains. — Pitman; Boston, 1985. — P. 410.

*Department of Mathematics, Ben Gurion University of the Negev, Beer sheva, Israel, vladimir@bgumail.bgu.ac.il

**Department of mathematics, Novosibirsk Technical University, Novosibirsk, Russia